

LOS ANGELES, CA 90071-2611

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/743,241 01/05/2001 Kazumi Saburi 81922.0004 5876 26021 **EXAMINER** 7590 04/12/2006 **HOGAN & HARTSON L.L.P.** RAMAKRISHNAIAH, MELUR 500 S. GRAND AVENUE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **SUITE 1900**

2614

DATE MAILED: 04/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-
	09/743,241	SABURI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-
	Melur Ramakrishnaiah	2614	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address	_
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr , cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. It is timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Fe	ebruary 2006.		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	,	prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E			
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 13,14,17,18,21,25-27 and 31-34 is/ara	e pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		•	
5) Claim(s) 13,14,17,18,21,25-27,32 and 33 is/ard			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>31 and 34</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application Papers	,		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		·	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Offi	ce Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 	•	(a)-(d) or (f).	
		ation No.	
 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau 	•	ived in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , ,	ved .	
Coo and attached detailed Office action for a list of	o. The contined copies not recei		
létachmant(a)			
Attachment(s)) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa	nn (PTO-413)	
Notice of References Cited (P10-692) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail		
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6-27-05.		Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/743,241

Art Unit: 2614

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishimo et al. (JP 401311744A, hereinafter Nishimo) in view of Irube (US PAT: 6,377,818).

Regarding claim 31, Nishimo discloses a communication method using a communication terminal having a telephone function, a data communication function, and visual telephone function, comprising: receiving incoming information from outside of the communication terminal when a call is received, displaying contents in correspondence with data type information, audibly outputting contents in correspondence with data type information, and activating an application program (this is implied as the reference teaches functioning as a simple terminal with associated application program for conducting communication as a simple terminal or functioning as composite terminal with associated application program for conducting communication as a composite terminal) in correspondence with data type information (figs. 6, 13, 15, see abstract).

Nishimo differs from claimed invention in that he does not teach mobile terminal functions for carrying out desired communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/743,241

Art Unit: 2614

However, Irube discloses communication terminal apparatus which teaches mobile terminal functions for carrying out desired communications (fig. 1, see abstract; col. 4 lines 33-36).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Nishimo's system to provide for mobile terminal functions for carrying out desired communications as this arrangement would provide mobility for user in connection with desired communications as is well known in the art.

3. Claim 34 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishimo in view of Irube as applied to claim 31 above, and further in view of Sato (JP 406296277).

The combination differs from claim 34 in that it does not specifically teach the following: when a key is pressed, the application program is activated.

However, Sato discloses video telephone system which teaches the following: when a key (reads on 22) is pressed, the application program is activated (fig. 1, see abstract).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify the combination to provide for the following: when a key is pressed, the application program is activated as this arrangement would facilitate the user to effect desired communication as taught by Sato, thus providing user convenience to effect desired communication.

4. Claims 13-14, 17-18, 21, 25-27, 32-33 are allowed.

Art Unit: 2614

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 31, 34 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melur Ramakrishnaiah whose telephone number is (571)272-8098. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 Hr schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curt Kuntz can be reached on (571) 272-7499. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Melen Ramak Melur Ramakrishnaiah Primary Examiner Art Unit 2614